


## BAB II

### PELAKSANAAN PUBLIKASI

#### 2.1. Tahapan publikasi

##### 1. Pengiriman Artikel


Pada tanggal 14 April 2025, penulis mengirimkan artikel ilmiah melalui WhatsApp kepada pihak penerbit.



Gambar 2.1 Bukti mengirim artikel ke penerbit

##### 2. Konfirmasi Penerimaan dan Revisi


Setelah artikel dikirim pada tanggal 14 April 2025, pihak penerbit memberikan konfirmasi bahwa artikel diterima. Namun, karena terdapat kesalahan pada file yang dikirim, penulis mengajukan permintaan revisi kepada penerbit dan mengirimkan ulang file revisi akhir pada tanggal 07 Mei 2025.



Gambar 2.2 Mengirim file revisi ke penerbit

### 3. Penerimaan Letter of Acceptance (LoA)

Penulis menerima *Letter of Acceptance* (LoA) dari pihak penerbit pada tanggal 17 Mei 2025 sebagai bukti bahwa artikel telah diterima secara resmi untuk diterbitkan dalam edisi Vol. 5 No.1: Juni 2025. Surat ini menandakan bahwa naskah telah melalui proses review dan dinyatakan layak untuk dipublikasikan oleh tim redaksi Bajang Journal - *Journal of Innovation Research and Knowledge* (JIRK).



Gambar 2.3 Bukti menerima LoA

#### 4. Publikasi Artikel


Artikel resmi terbit di website jurnal pada tanggal 1 Juni 2025, lebih awal dari jadwal yang diinformasikan sebelumnya (yaitu tanggal 5 Juni 2025). Artikel dimuat dalam edisi Vol. 5 No. 1: Juni 2025 di Bajang Journal – *Journal of Innovation Research and Knowledge (JIRK)*.



Gambar 2.4 Bukti Artikel sudah terbit

##### 2.1.1. Bukti submit

Artikel dikirim ke pihak penerbit Bajang Journal melalui WhatsApp pada tanggal 14 April 2025. Berikut ini merupakan tangkapan layar proses submit artikel tersebut.



Gambar 2.5 Bukti mengirim artikel ke penerbit

### 2.1.2. Bukti review karya ilmiah dari reviewer jurnal

Penerbit memberikan hasil review dalam bentuk tabel penilaian terhadap berbagai aspek penulisan artikel ilmiah. Tabel ini mencakup delapan kriteria, seperti kesesuaian abstrak, kejelasan tabel dan gambar, metodologi, kesesuaian tema, serta kontribusi pengetahuan. Berdasarkan hasil review, artikel mendapatkan nilai 4 dan 5 dari seluruh aspek penilaian, yang menunjukkan bahwa artikel dinilai baik dan layak untuk dipublikasikan. Tidak terdapat permintaan revisi dari reviewer karena keseluruhan aspek dinilai telah sesuai standar. Berikut merupakan bukti hasil review dari Bajang Journal – Journal of Innovation Research and Knowledge (JIRK).



#### [REVIEW RESULTS]

##### Double-blind review form (First reviewer)

Thank you for agreeing to be a reviewer. We are keen to ensuring a high standard of articles published in European –American Journals, and the manuscript that is being sent to you has been submitted after a first selection process based on the agreement of the Associate Editors. In general, the standard of manuscripts forwarded to me after the vetting is good. To this end, we would be grateful if you would, wherever possible, provide constructive feedback to enable the author(s) improve the manuscript before publication.

Please complete the table below and rate the article on the issues described. As with all double-blind review

Please rate the following (5=excellent, 1= poor)		1	2	3	4	5
1	Appropriateness of the abstract as a description of study					*
2	Relevance and clarity of the table and figure					*
3	Appropriateness of Methodology				*	
4	Standard of English					
5	Relevant of the theme with the contain				*	
6	Discussion and conclusion				*	
7	Reference list and footnote					*
8	Relevance of the contribution to knowledge				*	

Decision regarding the paper

- (\*) Accept the paper in its current format
- ( ) Accept the paper with minor changes
- ( ) Resubmit with the major changes
- ( ) Decline the submission

##### Comments:

This paper is well organized and followed the manuscript guidelines of the journal to a large extent. The introduction section is good and shows the importance of the study. Literature review is adequate. Outcomes of the study are consistent with the findings. The approach used is praiseworthy. In my opinion, it should be published with no revision although implication to the research needs to be explicit.

##### Double-blind review form (Second reviewer)

Thank you for agreeing to be a reviewer. We are keen to ensure a high standard of articles for European –American Journals and the manuscript that is being sent to you has been submitted after a first selection process based on the agreement of the Associate Editors. In general the standard of manuscripts forwarded to me after the vetting is good. To this end we would be grateful if you would, wherever possible, provide constructive feedback to enable the author improve the manuscript before publication.

Please complete the table below and rate the article on the issues described. As with all double-blind reviewing, any comments you make will be passed to the authors on an anonymous basis.

Please rate the following (5=excellent, 1=poor)		1	2	3	4	5
1	Appropriateness of the abstract as a description of study					*
2	Relevance and clarity of the table and figure				*	
3	Appropriateness of Methodology				*	
4	Standard of English					
5	Relevant of the theme with the contain				*	
6	Discussion and conclusion					*
7	Reference list and footnote				*	
8	Relevance of the contribution to knowledge					*

Decision regarding the paper

(\*) Accept the paper in its current format  
 ( ) Accept the paper with minor changes  
 ( ) Resubmit with the major changes  
 ( ) Decline the submission

**Comments:**

I have now looked at the paper. The abstract, key words are OK. The paper is relevant to the theme of the journal and will contribute to academic debate. The paper is well structured and the APPROACH used for the study are sound. In my opinion, the subject matter of research problem is highly appreciable. It will surely make a contribution to the relevant field of research. The presentation of thoughts in the paper is notable. The paper should be published with no significant revision.

**[DECISION]**

Based on the review results, manuscript entitled, “**PENERAPAN DATA MINING DALAM ANALISIS POLA PENJUALAN PRODUK CETAKAN DENGAN K-MEANS CLUSTERING**” is **accepted** for publication in “**Journal of Innovation Research and Knowledge**” with P-ISSN [2798-3471](#) dan E-ISSN [2798-3641](#) pada Vol 5. Issue.1 of June 2025.

Mataram, 07- 05-2025

Managing editor,  
 Editor in chief,

  
 Masprithardi



Gambar 2.6 Bukti review

## 2.2. Bukti Publikasi

Bukti publikasi ditunjukkan melalui *Letter of Acceptance* (LoA) dari pihak penerbit, yang menyatakan bahwa artikel telah diterima untuk diterbitkan pada edisi Vol. 5 No. 1: Juni 2025 di Bajang Journal – *Journal of Innovation Research and Knowledge* (JIRK). Berikut merupakan bukti LoA :



**Letter Of Acceptance**  
Nomor: 38/BI/JIRK/V/2025

Editor In Chief Journal of Innovation Research and Knowledge menyatakan dengan sebenarnya bahwa:

**Nama** : Reina Ahlaq Karim Narsa Sari, Deborah Kurniawati  
**Instansi** : Sistem Informasi, Fakultas Teknologi Informasi, Universitas Teknologi Digital Indonesia, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Memang benar yang bersangkutan telah mengirimkan artikel pada 14 April 2025 yang berjudul "PENERAPAN DATA MINING DALAM ANALISIS POLA PENJUALAN PRODUK CETAKAN DENGAN K-MEANS CLUSTERING" dan telah dinyatakan layak untuk dimuat pada Journal of Innovation Research and Knowledge pada link: <https://bajangjournal.com/index.php/JIRK> untuk Vol.5, No.1 Edisi Bulan Juni 2025 dengan P-ISSN 2798-3471 dan E-ISSN 2798-3641

Demikian surat keterangan ini dibuat untuk dapat dipergunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

Mataram, 17 Mei 2025  
Redaktur Pelaksana,  
Editor In Chief,



Gambar 2.7 Bukti LoA

